# States Medicaid Managed Care I/DD Programs

**JUNE 2020** 



# Managed Care I/DD

With the estimated 1.5 million Medicaid consumers with an I/DD, over 358,500 consumers with I/DD were enrolled in a managed long-term services and supports (MLTSS) program over 10 states as of May of 2020. This means approximately 2.1% of the total Medicaid population and 25.4% of I/DD consumers enrolled in a Medicaid program. This population has 45% with three or more chronic conditions and 35% always have a mental illness.

# 1.5 millionMedicaidConsumerswith I/DD

lowa

IA Health Link



Start Date: 2016

**Managing Entity:** 

Multi-State Commercial MCO's

**Population Using Care:** 

**Roll Out:** 

600,000

All at once

**Level of Integration:** 

**Role of Case Management:** 

**Fully Integrated** 

Required MCOs to contract with existing case management

#### **Current Results:**

Unsuccessful, major financial losses, consumers filing lawsuits

## Tennessee

Employment and Community First CHOICES



Start Date: 2016

**Managing Entity:** 

Multi-state Commercial MCO's

**Population Using Care:** 

**Roll Out:** 

2,355 (2018)

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

Role of Case Management:

**Fully Integrated** 

MCOs provide Supports
Coordination

#### **Current Results:**

Very Successful by setting 10 and 30-day goals and prioritizing family supports and community integration

Texas

STAR+PLUS, Community First Choice



**Start Date:** 1998/2015

**Managing Entity:** 

Multi-State Commercial MCO's

**Population Using Care:** 

39,042 (2015)

**Roll Out:** 

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

Partially Integrated

**Role of Case Management:** 

Service Coordination staffed by MCOs and available to all

#### **Current Results:**

Having success but still need to reduce costs and improve quality

# Kansas *KanCare*



Start Date: 2013

**Managing Entity:** 

Multi-State Commercial MCO's

**Population Using Care:** 

8,954 (2017, I/DD waiver)

**Roll Out:** 

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

**Fully Integrated** 

**Role of Case Management:** 

CDDO's handle eligibility & assessment & have MCO based

#### **Current Results:**

Estimated cost savings \$1.7B, underperforming for I/DD. "The state's data is so bad, it's hard to tell if it's working."

# Arkansas

PASSE (Provider-led Arkansas Shared Savings Entity)



**Provider-led Arkansas Shared Savings Entity** 

Start Date: 2017

**Managing Entity:** 

Provider-led ACOs (must be 51% provider owned)

**Population Using Care:** 

28,000 (2018)

**Roll Out:** 

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

Partially Integrated

Role of Case Management:

Provided by PASSE

#### **Current Results:**

A few kinks between Phase 1 and Phase 2 and concerns on a structured timeline are being brought to light.

# Wisconsin *Family Care*



Start Date: 1998

**Managing Entity:** 

Regional Nonprofit MCOs for LTSS only

**Population Using Care:** 

49,932 (2019)

**Roll Out:** 

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

**Fully Integrated** 

**Role of Case Management:** 

IRIS - gives you freedom to decide what services you want

#### **Current Results:**

Success from the slow roll out and learning from their mistakes. Flexible Programs also created success.

# Michigan

Managed Specialty
Services and Supports



Start Date: 1998

**Managing Entity:** 

Local PIHPs

**Population Using Care:** 

Roll Out:

45,371 (2016)

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

Role of Case Management:

**Fully Integrated** 

Provided through CMSHPs or contracted out to private sector

#### **Current Results:**

Counties have different expectations and that can lead to inconsistencies. State support has led to positive personcentered approaches.

### Arizona

Arizona Long-Term Care System



Start Date: 1988

**Population Using Care:** 

66,669 (2019)

**Level of Integration:** 

**Fully Integrated** 

**Managing Entity:** 

Division of
Developmental
Disabilities (State run)

**Roll Out:** 

Phased

Role of Case Management:

Handled through DDD

#### **Current Results:**

96.6% of all Medicaid LTSS \$ for I/DD we delivered in Home and Community Based Settings. Structure presented low reimbursement rates, little growth, etc.

# New York

Home Health/Care Coordination Org. (HH/CCOs)



Start Date: 2016

Managing Entity:
Regional I/DD HH/CCOs

**Population Using Care:** 

**Roll Out:** 

120,000 (2020)

Phased

**Level of Integration:** 

Partially Integrated – still emerging

**Role of Case Management:** 

Entities with minimum 51% non profit for capitated rate

#### **Current Results:**

Still too early to gauge results, enrollment has been voluntary since July 2018

# North Carolina

North Carolina LMEs/MCOs



#### **Start Date:**

2005, 2013 full

#### **Population Using Care:**

321,511 (2017) / 18,007 I/DD

#### **Level of Integration:**

**Fully Integrated** 

#### **Managing Entity:**

Local PIHPs

**Roll Out:** 

Phased

Role of Case
Management:
North Carolina
LMEs/MCOs

#### **Current Results:**

Lack of consistency and standardization but was able to create strong provider network.

# Takeaways

Time / Phased Roll Out
Simplification of Case
Multi-State MCOs aren't always
Management
the answer

New Programs are offering unique alternatives

3

Local/Regional programs can be beneficial

?

Provider-led care is the future of I/DD Managed Care

3

# Onward!

www.theVBPblog.com www.XtraGlobex.com



1700 Market St, STE 1005, Philadelphia, PA 19103

Fady.sahhar@xtraglobex.com (856)397-5040